

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO 2870 10/516,460 12/03/2004 Shusaku Yoshida **EXAMINER** 12/15/2006 65565 7590 SUGHRUE-265550 GARNER, ONDRIA L 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213 2834

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/516,460		YOSHIDA, SHUSAKU	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ondria Garner	2834		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			•	
	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		•	
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 April 0123</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	ot received.		
· ·			•	
Attachment(s)			·	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/03/2004. 6) Other:				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/516,460

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figures 4(a), 4(b), and 5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/516,460 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

(4) if a mixture, its ingredients;

(5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morcos et al. (5677963) in view of Nonnenmann (4242606). Morcos teaches in figure 5, a voice

Application/Control Number: 10/516,460

Art Unit: 2834

coil motor 100 of the movable coil type comprising a stator of a permanent magnet 112 which becomes a magnetic field (col. 4, line 40) and a movable element of an armature coil 116, wherein the armature coil is formed into a coil-shape having a cavity portion, a reinforcing beam is formed at a substantial center of the cavity portion. Morcos does not teach the reinforcing beam being made of a non-magnetic material.

Nonnenmann teaches in column 5, line 37 a beam being made of non-magnetic and highly rigid material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a beam made of a non-magnetic and highly rigid material for its acoustic and high strength properties.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morcos et al. (5677963) in view of Lathrop (3659124). Morcos teaches in figure 5, a voice coil motor 100 of the movable coil type comprising a stator of a permanent magnet 112 which becomes a magnetic field (col. 4, line 40) and a movable element of an armature coil 116.

Morcos teaches all of the claimed features as discussed above. Morcos does not teach a highly rigid reinforcing member, the shape of which is the same as the coil section, is arranged at an end face of the coil. Lathrop teaches in figure 6, a highly rigid reinforcing member, the shape of which is the same as the coil section, is arranged at an end face of the coil. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a highly rigid reinforcing member to minimize the working temperature of the armature.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,460 Page 5

Art Unit: 2834

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morcos et al. (5677963) and Lathrop (3659124) in view of Nonnenmann (4242606). Morcos teaches in figure 5, a voice coil motor 100 of the movable coil type comprising a stator of a permanent magnet 112 which becomes a magnetic field (col. 4, line 40) and a movable element of an armature coil 116, wherein the armature coil is formed into a coil-shape having a cavity portion, a reinforcing beam is formed at a substantial center of the cavity portion. Morcos does not teach the reinforcing beam being made of a non-magnetic material.

Nonnenmann teaches in column 5, line 37 a beam being made of non-magnetic and highly rigid material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a beam made of a non-magnetic and highly rigid material for its acoustic and high strength properties.

Morcos teaches all of the claimed features as discussed above. Morcos does not teach a highly rigid reinforcing member, the shape of which is the same as the coil section, is arranged at an end face of the coil. Lathrop teaches in figure 6, a highly rigid reinforcing member, the shape of which is the same as the coil section, is arranged at an end face of the coil. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a highly rigid reinforcing member to minimize the working temperature of the armature.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 20050206245, US 3439198, US 6894408, US 6713904, US 3619673, US 5777403, US 3751693.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ondria Garner whose telephone number is 571-272-8327. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OLG 12/1/2006